

ANY BEHAVIOUR OF THE MITCHELL ORDERING OF NORMAL MEASURES IS POSSIBLE

PRELIMINARY VERSION

JIRÍ WITZANY

The Pennsylvania State University and Charles University (Prague)

December 1, 1993

ABSTRACT. Let U_0, U_1 be two normal measures on κ . We say that U_0 is in the Mitchell ordering less than U_1 , $U_0 \triangleleft U_1$, if $U_0 \in \text{Ult}(V, U_1)$. The ordering is well-known to be transitive and well-founded. It has been an open problem to find a model where $\triangleleft$ embeds the four-element poset $| |$. We find a generic extension where all well-founded posets are embeddable. Hence there is no structural restriction on the Mitchell ordering. Moreover we show that it is possible to have two $\triangleleft$ -incomparable measures that extend in a generic extension into two $\triangleleft$ -comparable measures.

We say that a well-founded poset P embeds into the Mitchell ordering of normal measures on κ if there are measures $\{U_p; p \in P\}$ so that $U_p \triangleleft U_q$ iff $p <_P q$. In the well-known Mitchell's model $L[\vec{U}]$ the ordering is linear (cf.[Mi83]). S.Baldwin has constructed a model where $\triangleleft$ is a prewellordering (cf.[Ba85]). Recently J. Cummings has described the Mitchell ordering in a particular generic extension where it embeds any well-founded poset that does not embed the four element poset $| |$ (cf.[Cu93]). It is actually an open problem of [Ba84] to find a model where the Mitchell ordering embeds the four-element poset $| |$. We show that in a generic extension any well-founded poset is embeddable. More specifically:

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03E35, 03E55.

Key words and phrases. Stationary sets, reflection, measurable cardinals, repeat points.

I want to express my gratitude to T.Jech and also to J.Zapletal for many valuable discussions and remarks on the subject.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -T $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

Theorem. Assume that V satisfies GCH, κ is measurable. Then there is a generic extension $V[G]$ preserving cardinals, cofinalities and GCH such that any well-founded κ^+ -like poset $P \in V[G]$ (i.e. $|P| \leq \kappa^+$ and $\forall p \in P : |P \restriction p| \leq \kappa$) such that $o(P) < o(\kappa)$ is embeddable into $\triangleleft$ on normal measures over κ in $V[G]$. Moreover if κ is $\mathcal{P}_2\kappa$ -strong in V , then any well-founded poset of cardinality $\leq \kappa^+$ is embeddable.

Proof. The forcing P_κ is an Easton support iteration of $Add(1, \lambda^+)^{V(P_\lambda)}$ ($\lambda < \kappa$ inaccessible). That preserves cardinals, cofinalities and GCH. Let G be P_κ -generic/ V .

Lemma 1. Let U_0 be a measure on κ , $j_0 : V \rightarrow M_0$. Then j_0 can be lifted to $j_0^* : V[G] \rightarrow M_0[G * \tilde{H}_0]$ uniquely determined by $\tilde{H}_0 \in V[G]$.

Proof. $j_0(P_\kappa) = P_\kappa * R$, cardinality of the set of R/G -antichains $\in M_0[G]$ is κ^+ computed in $V[G]$, and the forcing is κ -closed. $\square$

Consider only one-step extensions of this type. Factor $\tilde{H}_0 = H_0^\kappa * H_0$, where H_0^κ is $Add(1, \kappa^+)^{V[G]}$ -generic/ $M_0[G]$. We want to find some sufficient and necessary conditions on $\triangleleft$ on those extensions.

Lemma 2. Let U_0^*, U_1^* be extensions of U_0, U_1 given by $H_0^\kappa * H_0$ and $H_1^\kappa * H_1$. If $U_0^* \triangleleft U_1^*$ then $U_1 \neq U_0, U_1 \not\triangleleft U_0$ and $H_0^\kappa * H_0 \in M_1[G * H_1^\kappa]$. On the other hand if $U_0 \triangleleft U_1$ and $H_0^\kappa * H_0 \in M_1[G * H_1^\kappa]$ then $U_0^* \triangleleft U_1^*$.

Proof. Assume $U_0 \triangleleft U_1$ and $H_0^\kappa * H_0 \in M_1[G * H_1^\kappa]$. Then extend $j_0 : M_1 \rightarrow M_0^1$ to $\tilde{j}_0 : M_1[G] \rightarrow M_0^1[G * H_0^\kappa * H_0]$ in $M_1[G * H_1^\kappa]$. That defines U_0^* in $M_1[G * H_1^\kappa]$ since subsets of κ are same in $V[G]$ and $M_1[G]$.

Assume that $U_0^* \triangleleft U_1^*$ then $j_0(\kappa) < j_1(\kappa)$. $U_0^* \in M_1[G * H_1^\kappa]$ since the rest of the forcing is sufficiently closed. Consequently $j_0^*(G) = G * H_0^\kappa * H_0 \in M_1[G * H_1^\kappa]$. $\square$

Now given P we are going to find U_p^* ($p \in P$) such that $U_p^* \triangleleft U_q^*$ iff $p <_P q$. Fix $U_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft U_\alpha \triangleleft \dots$ ($\alpha < o(P)$) in V . Let $j_\alpha : V \rightarrow M_\alpha$ be the corresponding embeddings

Claim 3. There is $\tilde{H} \in V[G]$ simultaneously $Add(1, \kappa^+)^{V[G]}$ -generic/ $M_\alpha[G]$ for all $\alpha < o(P)$.

Proof. The cardinality of $Add(1, \kappa^+)^{V[G]}$ -antichains $\in M_\alpha[G]$ computed in $V[G]$ is κ^+ for a fixed α , hence still κ^+ for all $\alpha < o(P) < \kappa^{++}$ together. $Add(1, \kappa^+)$ is κ -closed. $\square$

Assume that $P = (\theta, <_P)$, so that the ordering of ordinals extends $<_P$. Factor $\tilde{H} = \prod_{p \in P} g_p$ using a canonical isomorphism $Add(1, \kappa^+) \cong Add(\theta, \kappa^+)$. For $q \in P$ define $H_q^\kappa = \prod_{p \leq_P q} g_p$ an $Add(1, \kappa^+)^{V[G]}$ -generic/all $M_\alpha[G]$ again using a canonical isomorphism $Add(1, \kappa^+) \cong Add(o.t.\{p; p \leq_P q\}, \kappa^+)$.

Claim 4. $g_p \in M_\alpha[G * H_q^\kappa]$ iff $p \leq_P q$.

Proof. If $p \not\leq q$ then g_p is generic/ $M_\alpha[G * H_q^\kappa]$, hence is not in this model. The other implication is obvious. $\square$

U_p^* will be an extension of U_α where $\alpha = o_P(p)$. We have defined H_p^κ and need to find an appropriate H_p . Consider $j_\alpha : M_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow M_{\alpha+1}^{\alpha+1}$ and find $H_p \in M_{\alpha+1}[G * H_p^\kappa]$ ($j_\alpha P_\kappa$)-generic/ $M_{\alpha+1}^{\alpha+1}[G * H_p^\kappa]$ as in lemma 1. Observe that H_p is generic/ $M_\alpha[G * H_p^\kappa]$ as well. That defines U_p^* in $M_{\alpha+1}[G * H_p^\kappa]$.

Claim 5. $U_p^* \triangleleft U_q^*$ iff $p <_P q$.

Proof. Let $U_p^* \triangleleft U_q^*$ then by lemma 1 $\alpha = o_P(p) < o_P(q) = \beta$ and $H_p^\kappa \in M_\beta[G * H_q^\kappa]$ (e.g. using fact 6 proven below). Moreover $p \leq_P q$, hence $p <_P q$, since g_p can be decoded from H_p^κ .

Let $p <_P q$, $\alpha = o_P(p) < o_P(q) = \beta$. All we need to prove is that $H_p^\kappa * H_p \in M_\beta[G * H_q^\kappa]$. But H_p^κ can be decoded from H_q^κ (all κ -sequences of ordinals that are in $V[G]$ are in $M_\beta[G]$) hence $H_p^\kappa \in M_\beta[G * H_q^\kappa]$. By the construction $H_p \in M_{\alpha+1}[G * H_p^\kappa]$ and so is in $M_\beta[G * H_q^\kappa] \subset M_\beta[G * H_q^\kappa]$ using the following

Fact 6. Let $U_0 \triangleleft U_1$ then $V_{j_0(\kappa)+1} \cap M_0 \subset M_1$.

Proof. Since $U_0 \in M_1$ and $V_{\kappa+1} \subseteq M_1$ applying j_0 we get $V_{j_0(\kappa)+1} \cap M_0 \subseteq M_0^1 \subset M_1$. $\square$ Claim 5

Finally let κ be $\mathcal{P}_2(\kappa)$ -strong and $P \in V[G]$ an arbitrary well-founded poset of cardinality κ^+ . Define the generics H_p^κ, H_p for $p \in P$ exactly as above. The only problem is in the proof of claim 5 that in general we cannot decode H_p^κ from H_q^κ if $p <_P q$. To do that we need the sequence of ordinals $\subset o.t.\{p'; p' \leq_P q\}$ corresponding to the set $\{p'; p' \leq p\}$ that may have cardinality κ^+ . However in that case we can assume that $P \in M_\alpha[G]$ for all $\alpha < o(P)$. Just use a P_κ -name $\dot{P}$ for P of cardinality κ^+ and the Laver's diamond to get $U_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft U_\alpha \triangleleft \dots$ such that $\dot{P} \in M_\alpha$ for all $\alpha < o(P)$. $\square$ Theorem

Remark 1. It is not true in general that $U_0^* \triangleleft U_1^*$ implies $U_0 \triangleleft U_1$ as lemma 2 might suggest.

Instead of the Easton iteration we could as well use the Easton product $\tilde{P}_\kappa$ of $Add(1, \lambda^+)$ ($\lambda < \kappa$ inaccessible). Start with two measures $U_0 \triangleleft U_1$ in V , with the corresponding canonical embeddings $j_0 : V \rightarrow M_0$, $j_1 : V \rightarrow M_1$. Let $G \times \tilde{G}$ be $\tilde{P}_\kappa \times \tilde{P}_\kappa$ -generic/ V . Then find $H_0^\kappa \times H_1^\kappa \times H_2^\kappa \in V$ a filter $Add(3, \kappa^+)$ -generic/ M_1 and $H_1 \times \tilde{H}_1 \in V$ a filter $(j_1 \tilde{P}_\kappa)^{>\kappa^+} \times (j_1 \tilde{P}_\kappa)^{>\kappa^+}$ -generic/ M_1 , and $H_0 \times \tilde{H}_0 \in M_1$ a filter $(j_0 \tilde{P}_\kappa)^{>\kappa^+} \times (j_0 \tilde{P}_\kappa)^{>\kappa^+}$ -generic/ M_0 . Using Easton's lemma $G, \tilde{G}, H_0^\kappa, H_1^\kappa, H_2^\kappa, H_1, \tilde{H}_1$ are mutually generic/ M_1 and $G, \tilde{G}, H_0^\kappa, H_1^\kappa, H_2^\kappa, H_0, \tilde{H}_0$ mutually generic/ M_0 . Firstly extend U_0, U_1 to U_0^*, U_1^* in $V[G]$ so that $j_1^*(G) =$

$G \times H_1^\kappa \times H_1$ and $j_0^*(G) = G \times (H_0^\kappa \otimes H_1^\kappa) \times H_0$ where $H_0^\kappa \otimes H_1^\kappa$ denotes a coding of H_0^κ, H_1^κ into an $Add(1, \kappa^+)$ -generic. Obviously $U_0^* \not\triangleleft U_1^*$ since $H_0^\kappa \notin M_1[j_1^*(G)]$. Then extend U_0^*, U_1^* to U_0^{**}, U_1^{**} in $V[G \times \tilde{G}]$ so that $j_1^{**}(\tilde{G}) = \tilde{G} \times (H_0^\kappa \otimes H_2^\kappa) \times \tilde{H}_1$ and $j_0^{**}(\tilde{G}) = \tilde{G} \times H_2^\kappa \times \tilde{H}_0$. Then $U_0^{**} \triangleleft U_1^{**}$ since $U_0^*, j_0^{**}(\tilde{G}) \in M_1[j_1^{**}(G \times \tilde{G})]$.

I do not know whethet the same can go through for the Easton iteration.

Remark 2. We could use as well the Kunen–Paris forcing: the Easton product of $Add(1, \lambda)$ where $\lambda < \kappa$ is a successor cardinal.

Remark 3. If $V = K[\vec{U}_{max}]$, the core model for a coherent sequence of measures, where κ is maximal measurable, then we can use the method of [Cu93] to classify all measures in $V[G]$:

A finite normal iteration $j : V \rightarrow N$ of length $n+1$ is an iteration of ultraproducts by measures on $\kappa = \kappa_0 < \kappa_1 < \dots < \kappa_n$. Any finite normal iteration $j : V \rightarrow N$ that starts with a measure U gives κ^{++} extensions U^* in $V[G]$ of U such that $j_{U^*} \upharpoonright V = j$, $j_{U^*}(G) = G * H^\kappa * H$. And all measures in $V[G]$ are produced in this way. We can give sufficient and necessary conditions for $\triangleleft$ in $V[G]$: Let U_0^*, U_1^* extending U_0, U_1 are given by finite normal iterations $j_0 : V \rightarrow N_0$, $j_1 : V \rightarrow N_1$ and $H_0^\kappa * H_0$, $H_1^\kappa * H_1$. Then $U_0^* \triangleleft U_1^*$ iff $j_0 \upharpoonright Ult(V, U_1)$ is an internal iteration in this model and $H_0^\kappa * H_0 \in Ult(V, U_1)[G * H_1^\kappa]$.

However we can hardly describe the ordering $\triangleleft$ in $V[G]$ in a simple manner.

That is illustrated by the following: Let U_0 be the minimal measure in V , let U_0^* be its one-step extension using $H_0^\kappa * H_0 \in V[G]$. We have seen that there may be measures above U_0^* even if $H_0^\kappa * H_0 \notin M_\alpha[G]$ for all $\alpha < o(\kappa)$. However it is also possible that there are no measures above U_0^* . It follows from the following joint lemma with J. Zapletal.

Lemma. *There is $H_0^\kappa \in V[G]$ $Add(1, \kappa^+)$ -generic/ $M_0[G]$ such that there is no $H_\alpha^\kappa \in V[G]$ $Add(1, \kappa^+)$ -generic/ $M_\alpha[G]$, $\alpha < o(\kappa)$ satisfying $H_0^\kappa \in M_\alpha[G][H_\alpha^\kappa]$.*

Proof. Let $R \subseteq \kappa^+ \times \kappa^+$ be a well ordering of order type γ where $\gamma > \kappa^{++M_\alpha}$ for all $\alpha < o(\kappa) < \kappa^{++}$. Notice that if H_α^κ is any $Add(1, \kappa^+)$ -generic/ $M_\alpha[G]$ then still $R \notin M_\alpha[G][H_\alpha^\kappa]$, otherwise γ would be less then κ^{++M_α} . So it would be enough to code R into H_0^κ . Let $\langle a_\alpha; \alpha < \kappa^+ \rangle \in M_1[G]$ canonically enumerate $\kappa^+ \times \kappa^+$ and $\langle D_\alpha; \alpha < \kappa^+ \rangle \in M_1[G]$ enumerate all $M_0[G]$ -dense subsets of $Add(1, \kappa^+)$, each set D_α enumerated by ordinals $< \kappa^+$. Construct a descending sequence of conditions $\langle p_\alpha; \alpha < \kappa^+ \rangle \in V[G]$ as follows: assume $\langle p_\delta; \delta < \alpha \rangle$ has been constructed, then find the first $q \in D_\alpha$ extending $\cup \{p_\delta; \delta < \alpha\}$, let $\eta = \sup\{\xi + 1; \xi \in dom(q)\}$ and put $p \upharpoonright \eta = q$ and

$$p(\eta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{iff } a_\alpha \in R \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

That gives an $Add(1, \kappa^+)$ -generic filter H_0^κ over $M_0[G]$ such that $H_0^\kappa \in M_\alpha[G][H_\alpha^\kappa]$ implies $R \in M_\alpha[G][H_\alpha^\kappa]$. $\square$

Remark 4. We can still ask what well-founded κ^{++} -like posets are embeddable. For example can we embed the poset consisting of a chain of length κ^{++} and one incomparable element? Using the ideas above we can even prove that in a sense any poset of cardinality κ^{++} is embeddable.

We say that a set of measures $\mathcal{S}$ covers $\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)$ if

$$\forall A \subseteq \kappa^{++} \exists U \in \mathcal{S} : A \in \text{Ult}(V, U).$$

If κ is $\mathcal{P}_2\kappa$ -strong then the measures on κ cover $\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)$. However we show that this is a much weaker property than $\mathcal{P}_2(\kappa)$ -strongness, actually equiconsistent to $o(\kappa) = \kappa^{++}$.

Lemma. (*+Zapletal*) Let $V = L[\vec{U}]$, $o(\kappa) = \kappa^{++}$, κ maximal measurable. Then the measures on κ cover $\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)$.

Proof. Let $A \subseteq \kappa^+$, then there is $\theta < \kappa^{++}$ such that $A \in L_\theta[\vec{U}]$. Obviously $\vec{U} \cap L_\theta[\vec{U}] \subseteq \vec{U} \restriction (\kappa, \theta)$, hence

$$L_\theta[\vec{U}] \subseteq L_\theta[\vec{U} \restriction (\kappa, \theta)] \subseteq L[j_\theta(\vec{U})] = \text{Ult}(L[\vec{U}], U_\theta^\kappa).$$

□

On the other hand we can easily destroy the covering property: Let $\mathcal{S}$ covers $\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)$. Let H be $\text{Add}(1, \kappa^+)$ -generic/ V . Then any measure $U \in \mathcal{S}$ remains a measure in $V[H]$ since no subsets of κ have been added. On the other hand $\text{Ult}(V[H], U) = M_U[H^*]$ is a generic extension of M_U by the forcing $j_U(\text{Add}(1, \kappa^+))$ which is closed in the model M_U – no new subsets of κ^+ are added. Consequently $H \notin \text{Ult}(V[H], U)$, and $\mathcal{S}$ doesnot cover $\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)$ in $V[H]$.

Using this observation we can prove:

Proposition. Assume that measures on κ in V cover $\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)$. Then there is a generic extension $V[G]$ of V such that any well-founded κ^{++} -like poset in V is embeddable into $\triangleleft$ in $V[G]$.

Proof. Let $G = H \times \tilde{G}$ be $\text{Add}(\kappa^{++}, \kappa^+) \times P_\kappa$ -generic/ V where P_κ is the Kunen-Paris forcing. Observe that if P is κ^{++} -like then P can be enumerated as $P = \langle p_\alpha; \alpha < \kappa^{++} \rangle$ so that the well-ordering extends $<_P$. Consequently we can assume that $P = \langle \kappa^{++}, <_P \rangle$. Using the covering property in V find $U_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft U_\alpha \triangleleft \dots$ ($\alpha < \kappa^{++}$) such that $\{\gamma; \gamma <_P \alpha\} \in M_\alpha = \text{Ult}(V, U_\alpha)$. Factor H as $\prod_{\alpha < \kappa^{++}} g_\alpha$ where g_α is an $\text{Add}(1, \kappa^+)$ -generic.

Let $H_\alpha^\kappa = \prod_{\gamma \leq_P \alpha} g_\gamma$ be an $\text{Add}(1, \kappa^+)$ -generic using an appropriate canonical isomorphism. Let $H_\alpha \in M_{\alpha+1}$ be $(j_\alpha P_\kappa)^{>\kappa}$ -generic/ M_α . Then $\tilde{G} \times H_\alpha^\kappa \times H_\alpha$ is $j_\alpha P_\kappa$ -generic/ $\text{Ult}(V[H], U_\alpha)$ defining an extension U_α^* of U_α in $V[G]$. Since $H_\alpha \times H_\alpha^\kappa \in \tilde{M}_\beta[\tilde{G} \times H_\beta^\kappa]$ iff $\alpha <_P \beta$ we are done: $U_\alpha^* \triangleleft U_\beta^*$ iff $\alpha <_P \beta$. □

REFERENCES

- [Ba85] S.Baldwin, *The $\triangleleft$ -ordering on normal ultrafilters*, JSL **51** (1985), 936 – 952.
- [Cu93] J.Cummings, *Possible behaviors for the Mitchell ordering*, to appear in the A.P.A.L..
- [Mi83] W.J.Mitchell, *Sets constructible from sequences of measures: revisited*, JSL **48** (1983), 600–609.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK,
PA 16802

E-mail address: witzany@math.psu.edu